

DELEGATED DECISION OFFICER REPORT

AUTHORISATION	INITIALS	DATE
File completed and officer recommendation:	CC	11/03/2020
Planning Development Manager authorisation:	TF	12/03/2020
Admin checks / despatch completed	CC	12/03/20
Technician Final Checks/ Scanned / LC Notified / UU Emails:	LNE	12/03/2020

Application: 20/00206/NMA **Town / Parish:** Bradfield Parish Council

Applicant: Mr Kevin and Mrs Hannah Morsley

Address: Ashmore Heath Road Bradfield

Development: Non material amendment for 19/01699/FUL raising flat roof to rear extension to allow for installation of required steel beam.

1. Town / Parish Council

N/A

2. Consultation Responses

N/A

3. Planning History

93/00055/OUT	(Land between Twin Poplars and Sabrina, Heath Road, Bradfield) 3 new detached dwellings	Approved	09.03.1993
93/00932/DETAIL	(Land between Twin Poplars and Sabrina, Heath Road Bradfield) Erection of 3 dwellings (Approval of Reserved Matters following Outline permission TEN/93/0055)	Approved	05.10.1993
19/01699/FUL	Single storey rear extension.	Approved	03.01.2020
20/00206/NMA	Non material amendment for 19/01699/FUL raising flat roof to rear extension to allow for installation of required steel beam.	Current	

4. Relevant Policies / Government Guidance

N/A

Status of the Local Plan

The 'development plan' for Tendring is the 2007 'adopted' Local Plan. Paragraph 213 of the NPPF (2019) allows local planning authorities to give due weight to adopted albeit outdated policies according to their degree of consistency with the policies in the NPPF. Paragraph 48 of the NPPF also allows weight to be given to policies in emerging plans according to their stage of preparation, the extent to which there are unresolved objections to relevant policies and the degree of consistency with national policy. As of 16th June 2017, the emerging Local Plan for Tendring is the Tendring District Local Plan 2013-2033 and Beyond Publication Draft.

Section 1 of the Local Plan (which sets out the strategy for growth across North Essex including Tendring, Colchester and Braintree) was examined in January and May 2018 and the Inspector's initial findings were published in June 2018. They raise concerns, very specifically, about the three 'Garden Communities' proposed in north Essex along the A120 designed to deliver longer-term sustainable growth in the latter half of the plan period and beyond 2033. Further work is required to address the Inspector's concerns and the North Essex Authorities are considering how best to proceed.

With more work required to demonstrate the soundness of the Local Plan, its policies cannot yet carry the full weight of adopted policy, however they can carry some weight in the determination of planning applications. The examination of Section 2 of the Local Plan will progress once matters in relation to Section 1 have been resolved. Where emerging policies are particularly relevant to a planning application and can be given some weight in line with the principles set out in paragraph 48 of the NPPF, they will be considered and, where appropriate, referred to in decision notices. In general terms however, more weight will be given to policies in the NPPF and the adopted Local Plan.

5. Officer Appraisal (including Site Description and Proposal)

From 1st October 2009 a new provision under Section 96A of the Town and Country Planning Act came into force allowing a Local Planning Authority, on application, to make a change to any planning permission if it is satisfied that the amendment proposed is non-material.

The key test as to the acceptability of an application for a non-material change is whether the change is material to any development plan policy. If the answer is 'no', three further tests should be applied:

1. Is the proposal significant in terms of its scale (magnitude, degree etc.), in relation to the original approval?
2. Would the proposed change result in a detrimental impact either visually or in terms of amenity?
3. Would the interests of any third party or body who participated in or were informed of the original decision be disadvantaged in any way?

Appraisal

The proposal comprises of the following amendment to planning approval 19/01699/FUL

- Raising flat roof to rear extension to allow for installation of required steel beam.

The degree of change being proposed compared to the original approval would not be significant in terms of the overall appearance of the development. The proposal is located to the rear of the site and will not be visible from the streetscene and is deemed to have no adverse effects on the visual amenities of the area nor the existing dwelling. The proposal has been assessed in terms of impacts to neighbouring amenities and it is considered that raised roof height does not cause any significant impact on the loss of daylight, privacy or harm to the amenities of the adjacent neighbouring properties.

Conclusion

In this instance it is considered that the amendments being sought are minor and are therefore acceptable as a non-material amendment to the approved plans attached to 19/01699/FUL.

6. Recommendation

Approval Non Material Amendment

7. Conditions / Reasons for Refusal

- 1 The development hereby permitted shall be carried out in accordance with the following approved plans; Drawing Number 0240/PL/04 Revision A.

Reason - For the avoidance of doubt and in the interests of proper planning.

8. Informatives

N/A

Are there any letters to be sent to applicant / agent with the decision? If so please specify:	YES	NO
Are there any third parties to be informed of the decision? If so, please specify:	YES	NO