



TENDRING DISTRICT COUNCIL

Planning Services

Council Offices, Thorpe Road, Weeley, Clacton-on-Sea, Essex CO16 9AJ

AGENT: Mr Malcolm Inkster - Trinity
Planning
33 West Street
Wivenhoe
CO7 9DA

APPLICANT: Tracey Baldwin - Bull & Baldwin
Development Ltd
C/O Agent

TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING ACT 1990

APPLICATION NO: 19/00873/FUL

DATE REGISTERED: 12th June 2019

Proposed Development and Location of Land:

**Erection of 2no. semi-detached dwellings with parking.
Land adjacent 21 Waterside Brightlingsea Essex**

THE TENDRING DISTRICT COUNCIL AS LOCAL PLANNING AUTHORITY **HEREBY REFUSE PLANNING PERMISSION** in accordance with the application form, supporting documents and plans submitted for the following reason(s)

- 1 Paragraph 155 of the NPPF states inappropriate development in areas at risk of flooding should be avoided by directing development away from areas at highest risk, but where development is necessary, making it safe without increasing flood risk elsewhere. Local Plans should be supported by Strategic Flood Risk Assessment and develop policies to manage flood risk from all sources, taking account of advice from the Environment Agency and other relevant flood risk management bodies, such as lead local flood authorities and internal drainage boards. Local Plans should apply a sequential, risk-based approach to the location of development to avoid where possible flood risk to people and property and manage any residual risk, taking account of the impacts of climate change, by:
 - applying the Sequential Test;
 - if necessary, applying the Exception Test;
 - safeguarding land from development that is required for current and future flood management;
 - using opportunities offered by new development to reduce the causes and impacts of flooding; and
 - where climate change is expected to increase flood risk so that some existing development may not be sustainable in the long-term, seeking opportunities to facilitate the relocation of development, including housing, to more sustainable locations.

Paragraph 157 of the NPPF further states that the aim of the sequential test is to steer new development to areas with the lowest probability of flooding. Development should not be allocated or permitted if there are reasonably available sites appropriate for the proposed development in areas with a lower probability of flooding. The Strategic Flood Risk Assessment will provide the basis for applying this test. A sequential approach should be used in areas known to be at risk from any form of flooding.

These sentiments are echoed in Draft Policy PPL1 of the emerging Local Plan, which

states that all development proposals will be considered against the National Planning Policy Framework's flood risk 'sequential test' to direct development toward sites at the lowest risk of flooding unless they involve land specifically allocated for development on the policies maps or local maps.

Saved Policy QL3 also supports this approach by stating that 'development should be located to avoid danger to people and property from flood risk now and for the lifetime of the development. For this purpose, development will not be permitted where sites of lesser flood risk are available to meet development need'.

It is acknowledged the EA has no objection to the proposal, in principle, but it is clearly highlighted in the EA response that the Council should be satisfied that the sequential test has been passed.

The submitted FRA makes reference to the need for the sequential test to be passed but no further information has been provided. However, it is considered that having assessed the information submitted, officers have identified other sites in the Brightlingsea area which could accommodate the development in a lower flood zone. The Council therefore does not agree that the sequential test requirement has been satisfied. The allocations in the emerging Local Plan, intelligence gathered in updating the SHLAA and knowledge of extant planning permissions indicates that there are reasonably available sites appropriate for the proposed development in areas with a lower probability of flooding that are therefore considered sequentially preferable to the application site.

It is therefore considered that the proposal has failed the Sequential Test. The proposed residential development is therefore considered to be unacceptable and contrary to the advice contained in the NPPF, Draft Policy PPL1 of the emerging Local Plan, and Saved Policy QL3 of the 2007 Local Plan.

DATED: 11th October 2019

SIGNED:



Catherine Bicknell
Head of Planning

IMPORTANT INFORMATION :-

The local planning authority considers that the following policies and proposals in the development plan are relevant to the above decision:

NPPF National Planning Policy Framework February 2019

National Planning Practice Guidance

Tendring District Local Plan 2007

QL1 Spatial Strategy

QL3 Minimising and Managing Flood Risk

QL9 Design of New Development

QL10 Designing New Development to Meet Functional Needs

QL11 Environmental Impacts and Compatibility of Uses
HG3 Residential Development Within Defined Settlements
HG9 Private Amenity Space
HG14 Side Isolation
EN17 Conservation Areas
EN29 Archaeology
TR1A Development Affecting Highways
TR7 Vehicle Parking at New Development
EN6A Protected Species
EN11A Protection of International Sites European Sites and RAMSAR Sites
Tendring District Local Plan 2013-2033 and Beyond Publication Draft (June 2017)
SPL3 Sustainable Design
LP1 Housing Supply
LP3 Housing Density and Standards
LP4 Housing Layout
PPL1 Development and Flood Risk
PPL7 Archaeology
PPL8 Conservation Areas
PPL4 Biodiversity and Geodiversity
Local Planning Guidance
Essex County Council Car Parking Standards - Design and Good Practice

Positive and Proactive Statement

The Local Planning Authority has acted positively and proactively in determining this application by identifying matters of concern with the proposal and discussing those with the Applicant. However, the issues are so fundamental to the proposal that it has not been possible to negotiate a satisfactory way forward and due to the harm which has been clearly identified within the reason(s) for the refusal, approval has not been possible.

The attached notes explain the rights of appeal.

NOTES FOR GUIDANCE

WHEN PLANNING PERMISSION IS REFUSED OR GRANTED SUBJECT TO CONDITIONS

APPEALS TO THE SECRETARY OF STATE

- If you are aggrieved by the decision of your local planning authority to refuse permission for the proposed development or to grant it subject to conditions, then you can appeal to the Secretary of State under Section 78 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
- If you want to appeal, then you must do so within the set time frame as outlined below:
 - a. If this is a decision to refuse planning permission for a householder application, if you want to appeal against your local planning authority's decision then you must do so within **12 weeks** of the date of this notice. A **Householder Appeal Form** is required, available online at <https://www.gov.uk/planning-inspectorate>
 - b. If this is a decision to refuse planning permission for a minor commercial application, if you want to appeal against your local planning authority's decision then you must do so within **12 weeks** of the date of this notice. A **Planning Appeal Form** is required, available online at <https://www.gov.uk/planning-inspectorate>
 - c. If you want to appeal against your local planning authority's decision on a development which is not caught by a. and b. above then you must do so within **6 months** of the date of this notice. A **Planning Appeal Form** is required, available online at <https://www.gov.uk/planning-inspectorate>
- Appeals must be made using the relevant form (as detailed above) which you can get from the Secretary of State at Temple Quay House, 2 The Square, Temple Quay, Bristol, BS1 6PN (Tel: 0303 444 5000) or online at <https://www.gov.uk/planning-inspectorate>. **Please note, only the applicant possesses the right of appeal.**
- The Secretary of State can allow a longer period for giving notice of an appeal, but will not normally be prepared to use this power unless there are special circumstances which excuse the delay in giving notice of appeal.
- The Secretary of State need not consider an appeal if it seems to the Secretary of State that the local planning authority could not have granted permission for the proposed development or could not have granted it without the conditions imposed having regard to the statutory requirements, to the provisions of any development order and to any directions given under a development order.
- If you intend to submit an appeal that you would like examined by inquiry then you must notify the Local Planning Authority and Planning Inspectorate (inquiryappeals@planninginspectorate.gov.uk) at least 10 days before submitting the appeal. Further details are on GOV.UK.

ENFORCEMENT

- If this is a decision on a planning application relating to the same or substantially the same land and development as is already the subject of an enforcement notice, if you want to appeal against your local planning authority's decision on your application, then you must do so within 28 days of the date of this notice.

- If an enforcement notice is served relating to the same or substantially the same land and development as in your application and if you want to appeal against your local planning authority's decision on your application, then you must do so within 28 days of the date of service of the enforcement notice, or within 6 months (12 weeks in the case of a householder or minor commercial appeal) of the date of this notice, whichever period expires earlier.