

## **RECORD OF EXECUTIVE DECISION (THE DECISIONS LIST)**

Date:	Decision Maker:	Subject to Call-in*
09 October 2020	Cabinet	Yes

**SUBJECT OF DECISION:**

Matters Referred to the Cabinet by a Committee - Reference from the Planning Policy & Local Plan Committee - A.1 - The Planning White Paper – ‘Planning for the Future’

**Decision:**

That the Council’s formal response to the Government’s White Paper ‘Planning for the Future’, as placed before the Cabinet, be approved and submitted to the Government by the consultation deadline of 29 October 2020.

**Reasons for Decision:**

Having considered the Planning Policy and Local Plan Committee’s draft response to the Government’s White Paper.

**Alternative Options Considered:**

Not to agree the response or to agree a further amended response.

**Conflicts of Interest Declared  
(and Dispensations Granted by the Monitoring Officer)**

None

**Consultation with Ward Member:**

N/A

**Contact Officer:**

Gary Guiver, Assistant Director (Strategic Planning and Place)

Date:	Decision Maker:	Subject to Call-in*
09 October 2020	Cabinet	Yes

**SUBJECT OF DECISION:**

Cabinet Members' Items - Report of the Housing Portfolio Holder - A.2 - Housing Acquisitions & Development Policy

**Decision:**

That –

- (a) the Housing Acquisitions and Development Policy be adopted; and
- (b) the Housing Portfolio Holder be authorised to make updates or amendments to the Policy, if required, after its adoption.

**Reasons for Decision:**

Having considered the contents of the proposed Housing Acquisitions and Development Policy.

**Alternative Options Considered:**

Not to approve the Policy as submitted.

**Conflicts of Interest Declared  
(and Dispensations Granted by the Monitoring Officer)**

None

**Consultation with Ward Member:**

N/A

**Contact Officer:**

Tim Clarke, Assistant Director (Housing and Environment), Peter Russell, Executive Projects Manager - Housing

Date:	Decision Maker:	Subject to Call-in*
09 October 2020	Cabinet	Yes

**SUBJECT OF DECISION:**

Cabinet Members' Items - Report of the Partnerships Portfolio Holder - A.3 - Determination of a Nomination to Register an Asset of Community Value: The Hanover Inn, 65 Church Street, Harwich

**Decision:**

That The Hanover Inn, 65 Church Street, Harwich, Essex CO12 3DR does meet the definition of an Asset of Community Value (as set out in Section 88 of the Localism Act 2011) and that therefore the asset be added to the Council's list of Assets of Community Value.

**Reasons for Decision:**

Having considered all of the information and advice contained in the Portfolio Holder's report and its appendices.

**Alternative Options Considered:**

Not to agree the nomination.

**Conflicts of Interest Declared  
(and Dispensations Granted by the Monitoring Officer)**

None

**Consultation with Ward Member:**

None

**Contact Officer:**

Andy White, Assistant Director (Building and Public Realm)

Date:	Decision Maker:	Subject to Call-in*
09 October 2020	Cabinet	Yes

**SUBJECT OF DECISION:**

Cabinet Members' Items - Report of the Partnerships Portfolio Holder - A.4 - Determination of a Nomination to Register an Asset of Community Value: The Anchor Inn, 1 Anchor Lane, Harwich Road, Mistley

**Decision:**

That The Anchor Inn, 1 Anchor Lane, Harwich Road, Mistley, Essex CO11 1ND does meet the definition of an Asset of Community Value (as set out in Section 88 of the Localism Act 2011) and that therefore the asset be added to the Council's list of Assets of Community Value.

**Reasons for Decision:**

Having considered all of the information and advice contained in the Portfolio Holder's report and its appendices.

**Alternative Options Considered:**

Not to agree the Nomination.

**Conflicts of Interest Declared  
(and Dispensations Granted by the Monitoring Officer)**

None

**Consultation with Ward Member:**

N/A

**Contact Officer:**

Andy White, Assistant Director (Building and Public Realm)

\* The call-in procedure will not apply to a decision where the Chairman of the relevant overview and scrutiny committee's agreement has been obtained that any delay likely to be caused by the call-in process would seriously prejudice the Council's or the public's interest, (Rule 16 (h) of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules) or any decision made where such decision is to be referred to the Council or one of the overview and scrutiny committees for their consideration.