#### CABINET

#### **10 NOVEMBER 2010**

# REPORT OF ACTING HEAD OF CORPORATE PERFORMANCE

# A.10 QUARTER 2 - PERFORMANCE REPORT AND KEY PROJECTS 2010-11

(Report prepared by Ian Phillipson)

## **PART 1 – KEY INFORMATION**

# PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

The purpose of this report is to introduce the Quarterly Performance Report and the Key Projects Report for the period July – September 2010 (Quarter 2).

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

### **Performance Report**

Appendix A contains the Performance Report which contains the current position of the 83 Indicators that were selected to measure performance against the priority actions set out in the 2010-2011 Delivery Plan.

Full details of these indicators are contained within the Corporate Indicators & Targets 2010-2011 document, approved at Full Council on 1st July 2010.

Of the 83 indicators reported, 96 % (80) are on or above their respective target. There are 3 indicators that are currently not in line with the expected performance. Explanations of actions that are being taken to rectify this are included.

#### **Key Projects Report**

Appendix B contains the Key Projects Report which details our performance against our 'Key Projects'. These are projects which are of high value, or of significant operational importance.

There are 7 Key Projects. Each is measured for its timeliness, cost and quality. There was only one indicator which fell below standard. There are no exceptions to report this quarter as currently there are no projects that have fallen below the expected level.

### RECOMMENDATION

That Cabinet note the Council's Performance for the quarter July – September 2010 and invite the Corporate Management Committee to comment on the report.

### PART 2 - IMPLICATIONS OF THE DECISION

### **DELIVERING PRIORITIES**

These reports measure the progress that is currently being made by the Council on delivering against its priorities.

# **RESOURCES AND RISK**

#### Resources

The priorities reported in this report are those being undertaken within the current financial plan.

### Risk

These priorities are all within the current TDC risk framework.

# **LEGAL**

The actions proposed in this report are within the Councils legal powers.

# OTHER IMPLICATIONS

It should be noted that recently it has been announced that the National Indicator set is to be scrapped. As such, it will no longer be necessary to report to Government on these matters. However, some indicators are of use at a local level to measure performance and a review is being undertaken of these to establish which should remain.

Further details of those measures which are proposed for removal will be made in due course.

# **PART 3 – SUPPORTING INFORMATION**

# **APPENDICES**

Appendix A: Performance Report, September 2010 (2<sup>nd</sup> Quarter).

Appendix B: Key Projects Report, September 2010 (2<sup>nd</sup> Quarter).