

PLANNING COMMITTEE

2 JUNE 2015

Present:- Councillors White (Chairman), Heaney (Vice-Chairman), Fairley, Fowler, Hones, McWilliams, Nicholls, Poonian, Raby and Watson.

Also Present:- Councillors G V Guglielmi (Portfolio Holder for Planning and Corporate Services), Baker, Land, Turner and Watling.

In Attendance:- Head of Planning (Catherine Bicknell), Legal Services Manager (Lisa Hastings), Planning Development Manager (Clare David), Communications and Public Relations Manager (Nigel Brown), Senior Planning Officer (Will Vote), Solicitor (Charlotte Parker-Smith) and Democratic Services Officer (Michael Pingram).

6.00 p.m. - 7.04 p.m.

1. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE AND SUBSTITUTIONS

There were no apologies for absence.

2. MINUTES OF THE LAST MEETING

The minutes of the last meeting of the Committee, held on 28 April 2015, were approved as a correct record and signed by the Chairman.

3. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Councillor Turner declared a non-pecuniary interest in relation to Planning Application 15/00208/FUL by virtue of the fact he was a member of the Frinton and Walton Town Council and also a local Ward Member. He declared that he would not speak on the item.

Councillor Watling declared a non-pecuniary interest in relation to Planning Application 15/00208/FUL by virtue of the fact he was a member of the Frinton and Walton Town Council and also a local Ward Member.

4. PLANNING APPLICATION – 15/00574/FUL – ASHRIDGE, CHURCH HILL, RAMSEY, HARWICH, CO12 5EX

The Committee had before it the published Officer report containing the key planning issues, relevant planning policies, planning history, any response from consultees, written representations received and a recommendation for refusal.

An update sheet was circulated to the Committee prior to the meeting, with details of a consultation response from Natural England.

At the meeting, an oral presentation was made by the Council's Senior Planning Officer in respect of the application.

Councillor Turner, speaking as a District resident, spoke in support of the application.

Councillor Ed Ramsay, on behalf of Ramsey and Parkeston Parish Council, spoke in support of the application.

Mr Mark De Roy, the applicant, spoke in support of the application.

Following discussion by the Committee, it was moved by Councillor Watson, seconded by Councillor Nicholls and RESOLVED that the application be refused for the following reason:

“As the Council cannot show a 5-year supply of housing, it is necessary to consider whether sites outside of the defined Settlement Development Boundaries would constitute sustainable development, as required by Paragraph 14 of the National Planning Policy Framework (“the Framework”). The Saved Tendring District Local Plan (2007) Policy QL1 states that outside the defined Settlement Development Boundaries only development consistent with countryside policies will be permitted. Similarly, Policy SD5 of the “draft” Tendring District Local Plan: Proposed Submission Draft (2012) as amended by the Tendring District Local Plan: Pre-Submission Focussed Changes (2014) seeks to refuse planning permission for development outside the Settlement Development Boundaries unless certain criteria are all met, including that it should represent sustainable development. Saved plan Policy EN1 states that “any development which would significantly harm landscape character or quality will not be permitted”. A similar approach is taken in draft plan Policy SD5. Saved Plan Policy EN2 states that land within Local Green Gaps will be kept open and essentially free of development. The purpose of the Local Green Gaps, as set out in the preamble for saved plan Policy EN2 is to maintain separation between urban areas and free-standing smaller settlements that surround them. They also have a positive role to play in urban containment and thus contributing to the more efficient use of existing urban land and infrastructure. Draft Plan Policy SD6 states that development within Strategic Green Gaps will only be permitted if certain criteria are met.

The proposal is for 1 no. two storey dwellinghouse to be sited in the countryside and on land designated as Local Green Gap in the saved local plan and Strategic Green Gap in the draft local plan between “Ashridge” and “Some View” to the east. The proposal would be sited on the eastern edge of a short series of bungalows that run up Church Hill with a public right of way on its eastern boundary.

Economically, the proposal would be sustainable. Socially, the proposal would be marginally sustainable. Environmentally, the proposal would change the character and appearance of the site from a soft, open and rural site to a developed urban one with a two storey dwelling on this prominent raised site. It would also see the further coalescence of settlements and undermine the rural setting of Church Hill, which the Local Green Gaps designation seeks to prevent. Therefore the proposal would not be environmentally sustainable. In consideration of all three elements above, the economic and social benefits would be materially outweighed by the environmental harm that the proposal would cause. Therefore, the proposal would not represent sustainable development and would thereby be contrary to the aforementioned Paragraphs and policies”.

5. PLANNING APPLICATION – 15/00208/FUL - 7 HOLLAND ROAD FRINTON-ON-SEA, CO13 9DH

The Committee had before it the published Officer report containing the key planning issues, relevant planning policies, planning history, any response from consultees, written representations received and a recommendation of approval.

An update sheet was circulated to the Committee prior to the meeting, with details of a representation from Frinton and Walton Town Council that recommended refusal.

At the meeting, an oral presentation was made by the Council’s Senior Planning Officer in respect of the application.

Councillor Watling, a local Ward Member, spoke against the application.

Following discussion by the Committee, it was moved by Councillor Watson and seconded by Councillor Hones that the Head of Planning be authorised to grant planning permission for the development, subject to planning conditions, which being put to the vote was declared LOST.

During further debate by the Committee, it was moved by Councillor Heaney and seconded by Councillor Fowler that the application be refused.

Following additional advice provided by Officers, Councillor Heaney withdrew her motion.

Following further consideration by the Committee, it was moved by Councillor Watson, seconded by Councillor Hones and RESOLVED (a) that the Head of Planning be authorised to grant planning permission for the development, subject to planning conditions in accordance with those set out below but with such amendments and additions, if any, to the detailed wording thereof as the Head of Planning in their discretion considers appropriate:

Conditions:

1. Standard time limit
2. In accordance with approved plans
3. Materials
4. Landscaping scheme
5. Landscaping scheme implementation and retention
6. Parking space dimensions of 5.5m x 2.9m
7. Development in accordance with Construction Method Statement approved under 14/00046/FUL
8. Removal of permitted development rights (Class A, B and E of Part 1) for extensions, roof works and outbuildings
9. Provision and retention of space for parking and manoeuvring of vehicles
10. Development in accordance with Phase 1 Habitat Survey Report approved under 14/00046FUL
11. Provision and retention of bin store approved under 14/00046/FUL
12. Driveways and parking areas to be of porous materials
13. Hours of construction to be limited to 7am – 7pm (Mon-Fri) and 8am-1pm (Sat) only
14. Site remediation in accordance with report approved under 14/00046/FUL

6. PLANNING APPLICATION – 14/01720/OUT - LAND ADJACENT KIRKMEAD, CLACTON ROAD, WEELEY, CO16 9DN

The Committee had before it the published Officer report containing the key planning issues, relevant planning policies, planning history, any response from consultees, written representations received and a recommendation that outline planning permission be approved.

An update sheet was circulated to the Committee prior to the meeting, with details of two additional proposed conditions in respect of the maximum number of dwellings and site levels.

At the meeting, an oral presentation was made by the Council's Planning Officer in respect of the application.

Mr Peter Dumsday, on behalf of Weeley Parish Council, spoke on the application.

Mr De-Vaux Balbirnie, a local Ward Member, spoke in support of the application.

Mr Peter Le Grys, the applicant's agent spoke in support of the application.

Following discussion by the Committee, it was moved by Councillor McLeod, seconded by Councillor Mitchell and RESOLVED (a) that the Head of Planning (or equivalent authorised officer) be authorised to GRANT outline planning permission for the development subject to planning conditions in accordance with those set out in (i) below but with such amendments and additions, if any, to the detailed wording thereof as the Head of Planning (or the equivalent authorised officer) in their discretion considers appropriate):

Conditions:

1. Details of the appearance, landscaping, layout, access and scale, (hereinafter called "the reserved matters")
2. Application for approval of the reserved matters
3. The development hereby permitted shall begin no later than two years from the date of approval of the last of the reserved matters.
4. Samples of the materials
5. Hard and soft landscaping
6. All hard and soft landscaping implementation
7. Landscaping – Five year clause
8. Tree protective measures
9. As requested by the Highway Authority
10. Boundary treatments
11. Details of Refuse storage/collection areas
12. Permeable surfacing
13. Scheme to provide renewable energy and energy and water efficiency technologies to be used
14. Archaeology investigative and report works
15. Biodiversity mitigation and enhancement provision
16. Removal of PD rights for fencing, walls and means of enclosure on the northern boundary of the site
17. Lighting details
18. Maximum of up to 8 dwellings
19. Site levels
20. To agree a constructive management plan; and

(b) that the Reserved Matters Applications be submitted to the Committee for its determination; and

(c) that an Informative be sent to the applicant informing him that:

21. The landscaping scheme should retain the existing poplar trees and hedgerow along the site frontage as far as possible.

7. PLANNING APPLICATION – 15/00440/FUL – LAND ADJACENT 101 HOLLAND ROAD, LITTLE CLACTON-ON-SEA, CO16 9RU

The Committee had before it the published Officer report containing the key planning issues, relevant planning policies, planning history, any response from consultees, written representations received and a recommendation of approval.

An update sheet was circulated to the Committee prior to the meeting, with details of :

- (1) A letter of objection received from Little Clacton Parish Council; and

(2) An additional six letters of objection from neighbours.

At the meeting, an oral presentation was made by the Council's Planning Development Manager in respect of the application.

Mr Norman Edwards spoke against the application.

Councillor Peter De-Vaux Balbirnie, a local Ward Member spoke against the application.

Mr Peter Le Grys, the applicant's agent spoke in support of the application.

Following discussion by the Committee, it was moved by Councillor Simons, seconded by Councillor Mitchell and RESOLVED that the Head of Planning (or equivalent authorised officer) be authorised to GRANT planning permission for the development subject to planning conditions in accordance with those set out below but with such amendments and additions, if any, to the detailed wording thereof as the Head of Planning (or the equivalent authorised officer) in their discretion considers appropriate):

Conditions:

1. Standard time limit
2. In accordance with approved plans
3. Landscaping scheme
4. Landscaping scheme implementation and retention
5. Details of a vehicular turning facility
6. No unbound materials
7. Vehicular access width of 3.7m
8. Vehicular visibility splays to be maintained free of obstruction
9. Parking space dimensions of 5.5m x 2.9m
10. Construction method statement
11. Details of bridging/piping drainage ditch/watercourse
12. Site Levels
13. Porous hard surfacing materials
14. Surface water drainage details.

8. PLANNING APPLICATION – 15/00147/FUL – GREEN FARM, OAKLEY ROAD, WIX, CO11 2SE

The Committee had before it the published Officer report containing the key planning issues, relevant planning policies, planning history, any response from consultees, written representations received and a recommendation of approval.

An update sheet was circulated to the Committee prior to the meeting, with details of a further comment received.

At the meeting, an oral presentation was made by the Council's Planning Officer in respect of the application.

Parish Councillor Ray Murray, on behalf of Wix Parish Council spoke in support of the application

Councillor Matthew Patten, a local Ward Member, spoke against the application.

Ms Amanda Stobbs, the Agent on behalf of the Applicant, then spoke in support of the application.

Following discussion by the Committee, it was moved by Councillor McLeod, seconded by Councillor White and RESOLVED that the Head of Planning (or equivalent authorised officer) be authorised to GRANT planning permission, subject to conditions. This motion was LOST on the Chairman's casting vote.

After further discussion, it was moved by Councillor Brown and Councillor White and RESOLVED that the Head of Planning (or equivalent authorised officer) be authorised to GRANT planning permission for the development subject to planning conditions in accordance with those set out below but with such amendments and additions, if any, to the detailed wording thereof the Head of Planning (or the equivalent authorised officer) in their discretion considers appropriate) and subject to an informative being sent to the applicant stating that the landscaping scheme should include tree planting including native, evergreen species of a sufficient depth in order to screen the development from the A.120.

Conditions:

1. Standard time limit for commencement
 2. Development to be carried out in accordance with submitted plans
 3. Details of colour of fencing to be submitted
 4. No other fencing on site
 5. As requested by the Highways Authority (except PRow condition)
 6. Landscaping to be submitted and approved
 7. Colour of CCTV cameras and posts.
 8. Colour and material of ancillary equipment
 9. No External lighting
 10. Flood Risk management and surface water drainage proposals to be carried out in accordance with submitted details.
 11. No construction or decommissioning works outside the hours of 0730-1800 Monday to Friday and 0800-1300 Saturdays without prior written approval.
 12. Fixed permission for 25 years when the use will cease and all solar panels and ancillary equipment shall be removed from the site in accordance with the Decommissioning Statement.
 13. Solar park to be removed if ceases to export electricity to the grid for a continuous period of 12 months.
 14. Recommended condition of ECC Archaeology
 15. Details of an ecological management scheme and mitigation plan to include a scheme of biodiversity enhancement to be submitted and approved.
 16. Landscape Management Scheme to be submitted and approved.
9. PLANNING APPLICATION – 14/01904/FUL – LAND AT WEST ROAD, CLACTON-ON-SEA, CO16 1AG

The Committee had before it the published Officer report containing the key planning issues, relevant planning policies, planning history, any response from consultees, written representations received and a recommendation of approval.

At the meeting, an oral presentation was made by the Council's Planning Development Manager in respect of the application.

Mr John McLarty, on behalf of the Applicant, spoke in support of the application.

Following discussion by the Committee it was moved by Councillor White, seconded by Councillor Simons and RESOLVED that the Head of Planning (or equivalent authorised Officer) be authorised to GRANT planning permission for the development, subject to:

- (a) Within six months of the date of the Committee's resolution to approve the application, subject to submission of an acceptable Flood Risk Assessment;

(b) Planning conditions in accordance with those set out below but with such amendments and additions, if any, to the detailed wording thereof as the Head of Planning (or the equivalent authorised officer) in their discretion considers appropriate):

Conditions:

1. The development hereby permitted shall begin no later than three years from the date of the permission;
2. Limit the use to an Adventure Park;
3. No motorised activities;
4. Samples of the materials;
5. Hard and Soft landscaping details including tree protection measures;
6. Landscaping - Five year clause;
7. As requested by the Highway Authority;
8. Details of Refuse storage/collection areas;
9. Biodiversity enhancement provision and mitigation measures;
10. As requested by the Environment Agency;
11. SuDS Conditions;
12. Opening times.;
13. Two new bus stops near to the site entrance, the details to be agreed; and
14. Details of security fencing around the lakes.

10. PLANNING APPLICATION – 14/01772/FUL – LEE WICK FARM, LEE WICK LANE, ST OSYTH CO16 8ES

The Committee had before it the published Officer report containing the key planning issues, relevant planning policies, planning history, any response from consultees, written representations received and a recommendation of approval.

An update sheet was circulated to the Committee prior to the meeting, with details of three additional representations of objection received; and one letter from the applicant in support.

At the meeting, an oral presentation was made by the Council's Planning Development Manager in respect of the application.

Ms Emma Jezard spoke in support of the application.

Mr Raymond Stemp, spoke against the application.

Councillor White, a local Ward Member, spoke against the application.

With reference to Minute No.90 (v) (Declarations of Interest), Councillor White advised the Committee that as he wished to speak on the application in accordance with the provisions of the Council's Public Speaking Scheme, he would speak on the application and take no part in the subsequent discussion or voting thereon.

Mr Robert Clarke, the Applicant, spoke in support of the application.

Following discussion by the Committee, it was moved by Councillor Johnson, seconded by Councillor Turner and RESOLVED that planning permission be REFUSED, CONTRARY TO RECOMMENDATION BY OFFICERS, subject to the following reasons for refusal as listed below:

- Adverse impact on countryside, character and appearance; and
- Contrary to:

- o NPPF Paragraphs 109 and 114
- o Policies EN1, QL7, QL9 and QL11
- o Draft Policies SD9 and PLA5

11. PLANNING APPLICATION – 14/01783/OUT – FAIRFIELDS, COLCHESTER ROAD, ARDLEIGH CO7 7PB

The Committee had before it the published Officer report containing the key planning issues, relevant planning policies, planning history, any response from consultees, written representations received and a recommendation of approval.

At the meeting, an oral presentation was made by the Council's Planning Development Manager in respect of the application.

Mr Nick Davey, the Agent on behalf of the Applicant, spoke in support of the application.

It was moved by Councillor Scott, seconded by Councillor Johnson and RESOLVED that the Head of Planning be authorised to GRANT outline planning permission for the development, subject to the following conditions, additional conditions 14 and 15, and informatives:

Conditions:

1. Standard time limit for commencement of development
2. Standard time limit for the submission of reserved matters application
3. No development to commence until approval of all reserved matters
4. Details of boundary treatments
5. Vehicular access width to be 3.7m
6. No unbound materials to be used within 6m of highway boundary
7. Details of bridging/piping of ditch/watercourse required prior to development
8. Gradient of access not to be steeper than 4% (1 in 25) for first 6m from highway boundary and 8% (1 in 12.5) thereafter.
9. Details of a vehicular turning facility
10. All off street parking to be in accordance with current parking standards
11. Any garage with its door facing the highway to be at least 6m from the highway boundary
12. Details of bicycle storage
13. Provision of and adherence to a Construction Method Statement
14. Single storey
15. Details of visibility splay

Informatives:

1. Any fencing required, as part of the development should be chain-link or similar metal fencing with adjacent soft landscaping to screen the fence and to screen and enhance the appearance of the development. Close board or panel fencing would not be acceptable in this location; and
2. Standard highways informative

That reserved matters are reported back to the Planning Committee.

12. PLANNING APPLICATION 11/00544/FUL – 40 QUEENS ROAD, FRINTON-ON-SEA

The Committee had before it the published Officer report containing an appraisal of the key planning issues, relevant planning policies, planning history, any response from consultees, written representations received and a recommendation of approval with a

summary of recommended conditions. The recently published update sheet was also considered by the Committee and explained by officers at the meeting.

Councillors Johnson and Turner, and Councillor Watling present in the public area, each declared an interest as Town Councillors in respect of the application and remained in the meeting during the consideration thereof and the voting thereon.

Councillor Watling, as one of the Ward Members, spoke against the application.

Mr J Cavanna, the applicant, spoke in support of the application.

It was moved by Councillor Turner, seconded by Councillor Johnson and:-

RESOLVED – That application 11/00544/FUL be refused for the following reasons:-

The proposal for the erection of seven apartments (following demolition of 40 Queens Road) is considered contrary to Government Guidance as set out in PPS1 'Delivering Sustainable Development', and PPS3 'Housing'. The proposal is also considered contrary to policies QL9, QL11, HG3, HG7 and TR7 of the Tendring District Local Plan (2007). PPS1 requires high standards of design and states that design which is inappropriate in its context, or which fails to take the opportunities available for improving the character and quality of an area and the way it functions should not be accepted. PPS3 (as amended in June 2010) excludes private residential gardens as previously developed land, and deleted the national indicative minimum density of 30 dwellings per hectare. Policy QL9 states that permission will only be granted if, amongst other things, the development relates well to its site and surroundings particularly in relation to its siting, height, scale, massing, form, design and materials. Policy QL11 states that permission will only be permitted if (inter alia) the scale and nature of the development is appropriate to the locality, and the development will not have a materially damaging impact on the privacy, daylight or other amenities of occupiers of nearby properties. Policy HG3 relates to residential development within defined settlements and states, amongst other things, that residential development will be permitted provided it can take place without material harm to the character of the local area. Policy HG7 states all proposals should be so designed to have no undue adverse impact on the character of the area, or on neighbours' residential amenity. Policy TR7 states for residential development within town centres the adopted car parking standards will be applied, and outside town centres variations to the adopted standards for residential development will be considered where local circumstances suggest this to be appropriate. In this case the adopted Essex County Council Parking Standards (2009) applies.

In this instance the Local Planning Authority considers that the proposed building, by virtue of the overall mass, bulk and design will result in development which is out of scale and proportion with surrounding development to the serious detriment of visual amenity and the character and appearance of the surrounding area.

Furthermore, it is considered the proximity of the proposed development to No.38 Queens Road would adversely affect the residential amenities of this dwelling to such a degree as to warrant the proposal unacceptable.

Moreover, the proposal provides for inadequate parking provision when assessed against the adopted 2009 Parking Standards. As a result of the reduced number of parking spaces, it is considered that the development would intensify the pressures for on-street parking in this area and vehicles left parked within the adjoining streets would cause conditions of danger, obstruction and congestion, thereby contrary to highway safety.

13. PLANNING APPLICATION 11/00241/FUL – UNIT 2 - 3 NEWMANS ESTATE FORD ROAD, CLACTON-ON-SEA

The Committee had before it the published Officer report containing an appraisal of the key planning issues, relevant planning policies, planning history, any response from consultees, written representations received and a recommendation of approval with a summary of recommended conditions.

Mr P Le Grys, the applicant's agent, spoke in support of the application.

It was moved by Councillor McLeod, seconded by Councillor White and:-

RESOLVED – That application 11/00241/FUL be approved subject to conditions providing:-

- Time limit.
- No change to other D1 use.

Reason for Approval

No town centre site is available and that the proposed site is sequentially the best available that would meet the needs of the proposed use and its business model. The site is accessible by a variety of means of transport. The proposal does not materially harm highway safety or neighbours' amenities, and complies with adopted parking standards.

14. PLANNING APPLICATION 11/00528/FUL - FOREMANS YARD, RECTORY ROAD, WEELEY HEATH

The Committee had before it the published Officer report containing an appraisal of the key planning issues, relevant planning policies, planning history, any response from consultees, written representations received and a recommendation of approval with a summary of recommended conditions.

It was moved by Councillor Candy, seconded by Councillor Heaney and:-

RESOLVED – That application 11/00528/FUL be approved subject to conditions providing:-

- Time Limit
- Development in accordance with the plans
- Materials Condition

Reason for Approval

The use of ebony wood stain for the walls of the garage is acceptable as the walls will not be prominent in the streetscene.

The use of red concrete pantiles for the roof is unfortunate as the roof will not match the other new dwelling on site. Taking the roof materials of the dwelling opposite into consideration, on balance the proposed pantiles are acceptable.

15. CLG CONSULTATION – PLANNING FOR TRAVELLER SITES

It was noted from the recently published update sheet that there would be no discussion on this item at the meeting. Members were instead invited to pass their comments on the CLG consultation direct to the Principal Development Plans Officer by Friday 1 July 2011.

16. APPLICATION REFERRED TO IN REPORT A.4

Planning Application – 11/00334/FUL
Construction of a visitor centre/function room suite.

It was moved by Councillor Johnson, seconded by Councillor McLeod and RESOLVED that the Head of Planning be authorised to REFUSE the application on such detailed terms as she sees fit for the reasons summarised below:

- The proposed development would harm the character, setting and significance of the St Osyth Priory being a designated heritage asset;
- The proposed development would result in material harm to the St Osyth Conservation Area; and
- The benefits of the enabling development, and public access improvements, did not outweigh the disbenefits of departing from policy EN27 and The National Planning Policy Framework

17. APPLICATION REFERRED TO IN REPORT A.5

Planning Application for Listed Building Consent – 11/00335/LBC
Works for construction of a visitor centre/function room suite.

It was moved by Councillor Simons, seconded by Councillor McLeod and:

RESOLVED that the Head of Planning be authorised to grant listed building consent for the works subject to the following condition on such detailed terms as she sees fit:-

- Standard Time Limit – Three Years.

18. ANY OTHER ITEMS WHICH THE CHAIRMAN DECIDES ARE URGENT

There were none.

19. SUB-COMMITTEES OF THE LICENSING AND REGULATORY COMMITTEES AND THEIR CHAIRMEN AND VICE-CHAIRMEN

To avoid the need for formal meetings of the Licensing and Regulatory Committees to be held to appoint their Sub-Committees and the Chairmen and Vice-Chairmen of those Sub-Committees:-

It was moved by Councillor Stock, duly seconded and:-

RESOLVED – (a) That the Appeals Sub-Committee be, and is, hereby appointed and that the members thereof for the 2011/2012 Municipal Year be as follows:-

Councillor Aldis
Councillor Bragg
Councillor S A Honeywood
Councillor Nicholls
Councillor Platt
Councillor Simons

(b) That Councillor S A Honeywood be elected Chairman of the Appeals Sub-Committee

for the 2011/2012 Municipal Year.

(c) That Councillor Platt be appointed Vice-Chairman of the Appeals Sub-Committee for the 2011/2012 Municipal Year.

(d) That the Licensing (General Purposes) Sub-Committee be, and is, hereby appointed and that the members thereof for the 2011/2012 Municipal Year be as follows:-

Councillor Casey
Councillor De-Vaux Balbirnie
Councillor Downing
Councillor Fawcett
Councillor V E Guglielmi
Councillor Powell
Councillor Pugh
Councillor Skeels

(e) That Councillor Downing be elected Chairman of the Licensing (General Purposes) Sub-Committee for the 2011/2012 Municipal Year.

(f) That Councillor Fawcett be appointed Vice-Chairman of the Licensing (General Purposes) Sub-Committee for the 2011/2012 Municipal Year.

(g) That Premises/Personal Licences Sub-Committee 'A' be, and is, hereby appointed and that the members thereof for the 2011/2012 Municipal Year be as follows, with the appointment of a third member from the Labour Group, which appointment to be dealt with by the Chief Executive in accordance with the authority delegated to him to appoint a member at the request of the Group Leader:-

Councillor Downing
Councillor Powell

(h) That Councillor Downing be elected Chairman of the Premises/Personal Licences Sub-Committee 'A' for the 2011/2012 Municipal Year.

(i) That Premises/Personal Licences Sub-Committee 'B' be, and is, hereby appointed and that the members thereof for the 2011/2012 Municipal Year be as follows:-

Councillor V E Guglielmi
Councillor G L Mitchell
Councillor Shearing

(j) That Councillor V E Guglielmi be elected Chairman of the Premises/Personal Licences Sub-Committee 'B' for the 2011/2012 Municipal Year.

(k) That Premises/Personal Licences Sub-Committee 'C' be, and is, hereby appointed and that the members thereof for the 2011/2012 Municipal Year be as follows:-

Councillor De Vaux-Balbirnie
Councillor Fawcett
Councillor Platt

(l) That Councillor Platt be elected Chairman of the Premises/Personal Licences Sub-Committee 'C' for the 2011/2012 Municipal Year.

20. TENDRING DISTRICT LOCAL PLAN PREFERRED OPTIONS CONSULTATION

Council's approval was sought in respect of the Tendring District Local Plan Preferred Options Consultation.

The Local Plan Committee had considered the consultation document at its meeting held on 9 June 2016 and Council had before it the Committee's recommendations.

Council also had before it a report of the Head of Planning Services which informed Members of major as well as minor changes to the consultation documents in order to make the Plan up-to-date prior to public consultation and to be consistent in not allocating sites for housing which had been refused permission. Some of those changes were a result of decisions made by the Planning Committee at its meeting held on 14 June 2016. The changes had been made to the Plan attached as Appendix A to the report of the Head of Planning Services and a schedule of those changes was provided in Appendix C thereto.

Members had had circulated to them prior to the commencement of the meeting amended maps in relation to Great Bentley and Frinton, Walton, Kirby-le-Soken, Kirby Cross and Great Holland. Those maps had been altered as a result of the recent refusal of related planning applications.

Councillor Stock, Chairman of the Local Plan Committee, thanked the Members of that Committee and the Officers, particularly the Head of Planning Services (Cath Bicknell) and the Planning & Regulation Manager (Simon Meecham), for their hard work and dedicated effort in getting the Local Plan to its current position. He also thanked the members of the public and representatives of parish councils who had participated in the public speaking scheme at meetings of the Local Plan Committee.

Councillors Stock, Turner, Scott, Winfield, Parsons, Stephenson, Calver, Bray, V E Guglielmi, Howard, G V Guglielmi, Coley, Broderick and M Brown participated in the debate.

It was moved by Councillor Stock, seconded by Councillor Turner and RESOLVED that Council:

(a) approves the content of the Tendring District Local Plan Preferred Options consultation document, attached as Appendix A to the Report of the Head of Planning Services, which incorporates the changes set out in Appendix C thereto and including the amended maps for Great Bentley and Frinton, Walton, Kirby-le-Soken, Kirby Cross and Great Holland, as circulated, for public consultation for a period of eight weeks;

(b) delegates authority to the Head of Planning Services, in consultation with the Chairman of the Local Plan Committee, to make minor amendments to the text of the Local Plan consultation documents up to the point of publication for consultation purposes. Such amendments are to be circulated to all Members of the Council prior to the commencement of the public consultation; and

(c) delegates authority to the Head of Planning Services, in consultation with the Chairman of the Local Plan Committee, to agree the content of the Sustainability Appraisals for the Local Plan Consultation Documents for public consultation for a period of six weeks. The content of the Sustainability Appraisals are to be circulated to all Members of the Council prior to the commencement of the public consultation.

NOTES: (1) in accordance with the provisions of Council Procedure Rule 18.5, Councillors Bray, Broderick, Parsons and Whitmore each requested that they be recorded in the minutes as having voted against the above decisions; and

(2) in addition, Councillor G V Guglielmi requested that he be recorded in the minutes as having abstained from voting on the above decisions.

21. URGENT MATTERS FOR DEBATE

There were none on this occasion.

22. URGENT MATTERS FOR DEBATE

There were none on this occasion.

Chairman