PLANNING COMMITTEE

6 JANUARY 2015

<u>Present</u>:- Councillors Heaney (Chairman), Challinor (Vice-Chairman), Brown, Johnson, McLeod, Mitchell, Nicholls, Simons and White.

Also Present: Councillors G V Guglielmi (Portfolio Holder for Planning and Corporate Services) and Richardson.

<u>In Attendance</u>:- Head of Planning (Catherine Bicknell), Planning Development Manager (Clare David), Legal Services Manager (Lisa Hastings), Structures and Development Manager (Barry Eldridge), Communications and Public Relations Officer (Nigel Brown) and Democratic Services Officer (Michael Pingram).

6.05 p.m. - 8.31 p.m.

71. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE AND SUBSTITUTIONS

Apologies for absence were submitted on behalf of Councillor Fawcett and Councillor Scott.

72. MINUTES OF THE LAST MEETING

The minutes of the last meeting of the Committee, held on 9 December 2014, were approved as a correct record and signed by the Chairman.

73. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Councillor White declared a non-pecuniary interest in relation to Planning Applications 14/01008/FUL and 14/01009/LBC by virtue of the fact he was a local Ward Member, a Parish Councillor on St Osyth Parish Council and a long-time resident of the area.

He further stated: "I understand that the applicants have expressed doubt that I may have a closed mind and that I may have pre-determined the applications. I wish to stress to Members of the Committee that this is not the case and that these applications are no different from any others, where we read the agenda and thoroughly examine the accompanying papers before the meeting but await the discussion and debate with input from the applicant and our Officers before we decide which way to vote."

74. PLANNING APPLICATIONS – (1) 14/01008/FUL AND (2)14/01009/LBC - THE PRIORY, THE BURY, ST OSYTH CO16 8NZ

The Committee had before it the published Officer report containing the key planning issues, relevant planning policies, planning history, any response from consultees, written representations received and a recommendation of approval.

An update sheet was circulated to the Committee prior to the meeting, with details of amended plans, additional consultation responses, two additional letters of objection and an amended recommendation from the Officer.

At the meeting, an oral presentation was made by the Council's Planning Development Manager in respect of the application.

Those persons, detailed below, spoke on the application:

- a) Mrs Beverley Lynn, a local resident, spoke against the application.
- b) Mr Richard Winsborough, the agent on behalf of the applicant, spoke in support of the application.

Following discussion by the Committee, it was moved by Councillor White and seconded by Councillor Johnson that planning applications 14/01008/FUL and 14/01009/LBC be deferred in order that issues relating to access and the setting up of a Trust be resolved. The motion on being put to the vote was declared LOST.

It was then moved by Councillor Simons, seconded by Councillor Mitchell and:

RESOLVED that, in respect of Planning Application 14/01008/FUL, the Head of Planning be given delegated authority to grant planning permission for the development subject to planning conditions in accordance with those set out below (but with such amendments and additions, if any, to the detailed wording thereof as the Head of Planning in their discretion considers appropriate):

(a) Conditions:

- 1. Standard time limit for commencement
- 2. Development to be carried out strictly in accordance with submitted plans
- 3. Samples of construction materials and hard surfacing to be submitted and agreed
- 4. Soft and hard landscaping details to be approved
- 5. Noise mitigation measures (in addition to those already shown on plans)
- 6. Use of Tithe Barn to meet acoustic standards a) and b) specified in Environmental Health comments
- 7. Noise Management Plan
- 8. Implementation of a programme of archaeological work
- 9. Recommendations of ecological survey
- 10. Fenestration details to include glazed lobbies
- 11. Details of balustrade and staircase
- 12. Details of improved vehicular access minimum 6m wide, minimum 6m kerbed radii and minimum 43 x 2.4 x 43m visibility splay.
- 13. Removal of existing access and provision of minimum 2m wide footway linking to existing footway.
- 14. Relocation of north-east bound bus stop and upgrade of this bus stop and corresponding south-west bound bus stop to current specification to be agreed.
- (b) The inclusion of the following advisory informatives:
- 1. The relocation of the bus stop to the northern side of Mill Lane should preferably be to the south western side of the improved access;
- 2. The surfacing materials to the improved access and track across The Bury should preferably be resin bonded gravel as opposed to tarmac;
- 3. The landscaping scheme should incorporate native evergreen species and the access road within the car park area should be realigned away from The Priory wall.

It was then moved by Councillor Simons, seconded by Councillor Mitchell and:

RESOLVED that, in respect of Planning Application 14/01009/LBC, the Head of Planning be given delegated authority to grant Listed Building Consent for the development subject to planning conditions in accordance with those set out below (but with such amendments and additions, if any, to the detailed wording thereof as the Head of Planning in their discretion considers appropriate):

Conditions:

- 1. Standard time limit for commencement
- 2. Development to be carried out strictly in accordance with submitted plans
- 3. Samples of construction materials and hard surfacing to be submitted and agreed
- 4. Soft and hard landscaping details to be approved
- 5. Fenestration details to include glazed lobbies
- 6. Details of balustrade and staircase
- 7. Noise mitigation measures (in addition to those already shown on plans)

The Committee stood adjourned between 7.35 p.m. and 7.42 p.m.

75. <u>PLANNING APPLICATION – 14/01387/FUL - SYSTEMATIC LOGISTICS</u> INTERNATIONAL LTD, OLD IPSWICH ROAD, ARDLEIGH, CO7 7QL

The Committee had before it the published Officer report containing the key planning issues, relevant planning policies, planning history, any response from consultees, written representations received and a recommendation of approval.

An update sheet was circulated to the Committee prior to the meeting, with details of a consultation response received from Essex County Council's Archaeology Unit which had led to the Officer proposing further conditions in addition to those that had originally been set out within the report.

At the meeting, an oral presentation was made by the Council's Planning Development Manager in respect of the application.

Mr Richard Triolo, the applicant, spoke in support of the application.

It was moved by Councillor White, seconded by Councillor McLeod and RESOLVED that the Head of Planning be authorised to grant planning permission for the development subject to planning conditions in accordance with those set out below (with such amendments and additions, if any, to as the Head of Planning in her discretion considers appropriate):

Conditions:

- 1. Three year time limit
- 2. Development in accordance with the approved plans and restriction to Class B8 use
- 3. Landscaping
- 4. Landscape management plan
- 5. Drainage strategy including attenuation measures
- 6. Details of external materials
- 7. Outside storage and operational areas to be hardsurfaced
- 8. Restrict to maximum floorspace and height as applied for removal of permitted development rights for extensions and future hardstandings
- 9. Boundary treatments
- 10. External lighting in accordance with the submitted details
- 11. Recommendations in accordance with the Phase 1 habitat Survey
- 12. Remediation if unknown contamination discovered during construction phase
- 13. No outside working or storage restricted to those areas identified on the approved plans
- 14. Details of refuse and waste storage

15. Highway conditions: provision of revised access; closure of existing access; details of surface treatment; culverting; vehicular turning facility; provision of parking area; and Construction

Management Plan

- 16. Local recruitment strategy.
- 17. Archaeological watching brief

76. <u>PLANNING APPLICATION – 14/01462/OUT - LAND ADJACENT 109 HARWICH ROAD,</u> MISTLEY CO11 2DN

The Committee had before it the published Officer report containing the key planning issues, relevant planning policies, planning history, any response from consultees, written representations received and a recommendation of approval.

At the meeting, an oral presentation was made by the Council's Planning Development Manager in respect of the application.

It was moved by Councillor Simons, seconded by Councillor Nicholls and RESOLVED that the Head of Planning be authorised to grant outline planning permission for the development subject to:-

- a) Within 3 months of the date of the Committee's resolution to approve, the completion of a legal agreement under the provisions of Section 106 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 dealing with the following matters:
- Public Open Space Contribution Provision.
- b) Planning conditions in accordance with those set out in (i) below (but with such amendments and additions, if any, to the detailed wording thereof as the Head of Planning in their discretion considers appropriate):

Conditions:

- 1. Details of reserved matters
- 2. Application for approval of the reserved matters
- 3. Time scales for commencement to avoid nesting season
- 4. No more than 4 no. dwellings
- 5. Construction Method Statement to include:
 - i) the parking of vehicles of site operatives and visitors
 - ii) loading and unloading of plant and materials
 - iii) storage of plant and materials used in constructing the development
 - iv) wheel and under-body washing facilities
- 6. Location and design of the proposed private drive
- 7. Minimum vehicular visibility splays
- 8. No unbound materials
- 9. Off street parking details
- 10. Details of gates
- 11. Vehicular turning facility
- 12. Details of materials
- 13. Closure of existing access
- 14. Garage details
- 15. Width of carriageways
- 16. Domestic proposed vehicular access details
- c) That the Head of Planning (or the equivalent authorised officer) be authorised to refuse

outline planning permission in the event that such legal agreement has not been completed within the period of 3 months, as the requirements necessary to make the development acceptable in planning terms had not been secured through Section 106 planning obligation, contrary to saved Policy COM6 of the Tendring District Local Plan (2007) and draft Policy PEO22 of the Tendring District Local Plan Proposed Submission Draft (2012), as amended by the Tendring District Local Plan: Pre-Submission Focussed Changes (2014).

d) That a reserved matters be submitted to the Committee for approval.

77. <u>PLANNING APPLICATION – 14/01735/FUL - WEELEY CREMATORIUM, THORPE</u> ROAD, WEELEY CO16 9AH

The Committee had before it the published Officer report containing the key planning issues, relevant planning policies, planning history, any response from consultees, written representations received and a recommendation of approval.

At the meeting, an oral presentation was made by the Council's Planning Development Manager in respect of the application.

It was moved by Councillor McLeod, seconded by Councillor Johnson and RESOLVED that the Head of Planning (or equivalent authorised officer) be authorised to grant outline planning permission for the development subject to:-

- a) Conditions:
- 1. Time limit for commencement
- 2. Development in accordance with submitted plans
- 3. Full noise assessment required
- 4. Air quality assessment required
- b) An informative regarding the need to obtain a license from Natural England to protect breeding bats.

78. PLANNING APPLICATION 11/00475/FUL – SPRING STABLES, GUTTERIDGE HALL LANE, WEELEY – CHANGE OF USE OF THE LAND TO A RESIDENTIAL CARAVAN SITE TO INCLUDE THE STATIONING OF CARAVANS FOR 3 NO. GYPSY/TRAVELLER PITCHES AND FOR THE ERECTION OF UTILITY/DAY-ROOM BUILDINGS ANCILLARY TO THAT USE ON LAND

The Committee had before it the published Officer report containing an appraisal of the key planning issues, relevant planning policies, planning history, any response from consultees, written representations received and a recommendation of approval with a summary of recommended conditions. The recently published update sheet was also considered by the Committee and explained by officers at the meeting.

Councillors De-Vaux Balbirnie, Skeels and Essex County Councillor Mick Skeels, present in the public area, each declared an interest as Ward Councillors in respect of the application and remained in the meeting during the consideration thereof and the voting thereon.

Mr J Groom, on behalf of a local resident, spoke against the application.

Mrs C Bannister, on behalf of Weeley Parish Council, spoke against the application.

Councillor De-Vaux Balbirnie, one of the Ward Members, spoke about the application.

Mr T Doran, the applicant, spoke in support of the application.

It was moved by Councillor Candy, seconded by Councillor Turner and:-

RESOLVED - That consideration of application 11/00475/FUL be deferred for a period not exceeding six months to allow for further reports to be commissioned into the suitability of the site for occupation, with the authority to commission such reports as she deems necessary to be delegated to the Temporary Head of Planning Services in consultation with the Chairman, the Planning Portfolio Holder, the Ward Councillors and Weeley Parish Council.

79. ADJOURNMENT

The Chairman adjourned the meeting for a short while to allow members of the public to leave if they wished.

80. PLANNING APPLICATION 11/00037/FUL – LAND ADJ. 142 HARWICH ROAD, MISTLEY

The Committee had before it the published Officer report containing an appraisal of the key planning issues, relevant planning policies, planning history, any response from consultees, written representations received and a recommendation of approval with a summary of recommended conditions.

Mr G Archer, on behalf of local residents, spoke against the application.

Mr M Rayner, Chairman of Mistley Parish Council, spoke in support of the application.

Mrs C Moor, on behalf of the applicant, English Rural Housing, spoke in support of the application.

It was moved by Councillor McLeod, seconded by Councillor Johnson and:-

RESOLVED – That in respect of application 11/00037/FUL the Temporary Head of Planning (or equivalent authorised officer) be authorised to grant outline planning permission for the development subject to:-

- (a) Within 2 months of the date of the Committee's resolution to approve, the completion of a legal agreement under the provisions of section 106 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 dealing with the following matters
- Public Open Space Provision; and,
- Dwellings remain for local need.
- (b) Planning conditions in accordance with those set out below (but with such amendments and additions, if any, to the detailed wording thereof as the Temporary Head of Planning (or the equivalent authorised officer) in their discretion considers appropriate) and with the reason for approval set out in (i) below:-
- Time Limit
- Landscaping Scheme
- Implementation of Landscaping Scheme
- Removal of Permitted Development Rights Fencing
- Ecological Mitigation Measures

- Site Access Layout
- Estate Road Layout
- Vehicular Visibility Splays
- Construction Method Statement
- Completion of Car Parking and Vehicular Turning Heads
- Completion of Surface Water Drainage Scheme
- Siting of Hedgerow to Site Frontage
- Provision of Footpath
- Bicycle Parking Provision
- Communal Lighting Details
- Renewable Energy
- Permeable/Porous Driveways
- Approved Plans

(i) Reason for Approval

The proposal, for the erection of 15 no. affordable housing units, ancillary car parking and associated works, is considered to be in accordance with the provisions of the Tendring District Local Plan (2007). The Local Planning Authority, having had regard to all planning considerations material to the determination of this application, including particularly the scale, design, layout and siting, ecology and highway matters of development proposed and all consultations and representations made in connection with the application, conclude that the proposal accords with the provisions of the development plan as applicable to it, including the policies and proposals noted below, and in the absence of any material adverse impact resulting from the development consider that there are no material grounds which justify its refusal.

(c) The Temporary Head of Planning (or the equivalent authorised officer) be authorised to refuse planning permission in the event that such legal agreement has not been completed within the period of two months, as the requirements necessary to make the development acceptable in planning terms had not been secured through S106 planning obligation, contrary to Local Plan policy COM6 and HG5.

Note

Councillor Candy wished it to be noted, and the Chairman agreed, that there seemed to be an issue concerning the understanding of some local residents and Parish Council members of the site selection approach on this occasion, which had left them feeling excluded from the site consultation process, and asked officers if they could ensure that this was rectified for the future.

81. PLANNING APPLICATION 11/00417/FUL - 25 - 27 STATION ROAD, CLACTON-ON-SEA

The Committee had before it the published Officer report containing an appraisal of the key planning issues, relevant planning policies, planning history, any response from consultees, written representations received and a recommendation of approval with a summary of recommended conditions. The recently published update sheet was also considered by the Committee and explained by officers at the meeting.

Mr M Fearn, the applicant's agent, spoke in support of the application.

It was moved by Councillor Candy, seconded by Councillor White and:-

RESOLVED - That application 11/00417/FUL be approved subject to conditions providing:-

- Time Limit.
- Approved Plans.

Reason for Approval

This application is in conflict with the Development Plan. However, the following material considerations in combination outweigh the Development Plan:

- Policies in PPS4 and draft Core Strategy.
- Needs of applicant.
- Unsuccessful marketing.
- Better access for disabled.
- Improved bank facilities.
- Additional employment.

82. PLANNING APPLICATION 11/00544/FUL – 40 QUEENS ROAD, FRINTON-ON-SEA

The Committee had before it the published Officer report containing an appraisal of the key planning issues, relevant planning policies, planning history, any response from consultees, written representations received and a recommendation of approval with a summary of recommended conditions. The recently published update sheet was also considered by the Committee and explained by officers at the meeting.

Councillors Johnson and Turner, and Councillor Watling present in the public area, each declared an interest as Town Councillors in respect of the application and remained in the meeting during the consideration thereof and the voting thereon.

Councillor Watling, as one of the Ward Members, spoke against the application.

Mr J Cavanna, the applicant, spoke in support of the application.

It was moved by Councillor Turner, seconded by Councillor Johnson and:-

RESOLVED – That application 11/00544/FUL be refused for the following reasons:-

The proposal for the erection of seven apartments (following demolition of 40 Queens Road) is considered contrary to Government Guidance as set out in PPS1 'Delivering Sustainable Development', and PPS3 'Housing'. The proposal is also considered contrary to policies QL9, QL11, HG3, HG7 and TR7 of the Tendring District Local Plan (2007). PPS1 requires high standards of design and states that design which is inappropriate in its context, or which fails to take the opportunities available for improving the character and quality of an area and the way it functions should not be accepted. PPS3 (as amended in June 2010) excludes private residential gardens as previously developed land, and deleted the national indicative minimum density of 30 dwellings per hectare. Policy QL9 states that permission will only be granted if, amongst other things, the development relates well to its site and surroundings particularly in relation to its siting, height, scale, massing, form, design and materials. Policy QL11 states that permission will only be permitted if (inter alia) the scale and nature of the development is appropriate to the locality, and the development will not have a materially damaging impact on the privacy, daylight or other amenities of occupiers of nearby properties. Policy HG3 relates to residential development within defined settlements and states, amongst other things, that residential development will be permitted provided it can take place without material harm to the character of the local area. Policy HG7 states all proposals should be so designed to have no unduly adverse impact on the character of the area, or on neighbours' residential amenity. Policy

TR7 states for residential development within town centres the adopted car parking standards will be applied, and outside town centres variations to the adopted standards for residential development will be considered where local circumstances suggest this to be appropriate. In this case the adopted Essex County Council Parking Standards (2009) applies.

In this instance the Local Planning Authority considers that the proposed building, by virtue of the overall mass, bulk and design will result in development which is out of scale and proportion with surrounding development to the serious detriment of visual amenity and the character and appearance of the surrounding area.

Furthermore, it is considered the proximity of the proposed development to No.38 Queens Road would adversely affect the residential amenities of this dwelling to such a degree as to warrant the proposal unacceptable.

Moreover, the proposal provides for inadequate parking provision when assessed against the adopted 2009 Parking Standards. As a result of the reduced number of parking spaces, it is considered that the development would intensify the pressures for on-street parking in this area and vehicles left parked within the adjoining streets would cause conditions of danger, obstruction and congestion, thereby contrary to highway safety.

83. <u>PLANNING APPLICATION 11/00241/FUL – UNIT 2 - 3 NEWMANS ESTATE FORD ROAD, CLACTON-ON-SEA</u>

The Committee had before it the published Officer report containing an appraisal of the key planning issues, relevant planning policies, planning history, any response from consultees, written representations received and a recommendation of approval with a summary of recommended conditions.

Mr P Le Grys, the applicant's agent, spoke in support of the application.

It was moved by Councillor McLeod, seconded by Councillor White and:-

RESOLVED – That application 11/00241/FUL be approved subject to conditions providing:-

- Time limit.
- No change to other D1 use.

Reason for Approval

No town centre site is available and that the proposed site is sequentially the best available that would meet the needs of the proposed use and its business model. The site is accessible by a variety of means of transport. The proposal does not materially harm highway safety or neighbours' amenities, and complies with adopted parking standards.

84. <u>PLANNING APPLICATION 11/00528/FUL - FOREMANS YARD, RECTORY ROAD, WEELEY HEATH</u>

The Committee had before it the published Officer report containing an appraisal of the key planning issues, relevant planning policies, planning history, any response from consultees, written representations received and a recommendation of approval with a summary of recommended conditions.

It was moved by Councillor Candy, seconded by Councillor Heaney and:-

RESOLVED - That application 11/00528/FUL be approved subject to conditions providing:-

- Time Limit
- Development in accordance with the plans
- Materials Condition

Reason for Approval

The use of ebony wood stain for the walls of the garage is acceptable as the walls will not be prominent in the streetscene.

The use of red concrete pantiles for the roof is unfortunate as the roof will not match the other new dwelling on site. Taking the roof materials of the dwelling opposite into consideration, on balance the proposed pantiles are acceptable.

85. CLG CONSULTATION – PLANNING FOR TRAVELLER SITES

It was noted from the recently published update sheet that there would be no discussion on this item at the meeting. Members were instead invited to pass their comments on the CLG consultation direct to the Principal Development Plans Officer by Friday 1 July 2011.

86. APPLICATION REFERRED TO IN REPORT A.4

Planning Application – 11/00334/FUL Construction of a visitor centre/function room suite.

It was moved by Councillor Johnson, seconded by Councillor McLeod and RESOLVED that the Head of Planning be authorised to REFUSE the application on such detailed terms as she sees fit for the reasons summarised below:

- The proposed development would harm the character, setting and significance of the St Osyth Priory being a designated heritage asset;
- The proposed development would result in material harm to the St Osyth Conservation Area; and
- The benefits of the enabling development, and public access improvements, did not outweigh the disbenefits of departing from policy EN27 and The National Planning Policy Framework

87. APPLICATION REFERRED TO IN REPORT A.5

Planning Application for Listed Building Consent – 11/00335/LBC Works for construction of a visitor centre/function room suite.

It was moved by Councillor Simons, seconded by Councillor McLeod and:

RESOLVED that the Head of Planning be authorised to grant listed building consent for the works subject to the following condition on such detailed terms as she sees fit:-

• Standard Time Limit - Three Years.

88. ANY OTHER ITEMS WHICH THE CHAIRMAN DECIDES ARE URGENT

There were none.

89. <u>SUB-COMMITTEES OF THE LICENSING AND REGULATORY COMMITTEES AND THEIR CHAIRMEN AND VICE-CHAIRMEN</u>

To avoid the need for formal meetings of the Licensing and Regulatory Committees to be held to appoint their Sub-Committees and the Chairmen and Vice-Chairmen of those Sub-Committees:-

It was moved by Councillor Stock, duly seconded and:-

RESOLVED - (a) That the Appeals Sub-Committee be, and is, hereby appointed and that the members thereof for the 2011/2012 Municipal Year be as follows:-

Councillor Aldis
Councillor Bragg
Councillor S A Honeywood
Councillor Nicholls
Councillor Platt
Councillor Simons

- (b) That Councillor S A Honeywood be elected Chairman of the Appeals Sub-Committee for the 2011/2012 Municipal Year.
- (c) That Councillor Platt be appointed Vice-Chairman of the Appeals Sub-Committee for the 2011/2012 Municipal Year.
- (d) That the Licensing (General Purposes) Sub-Committee be, and is, hereby appointed and that the members thereof for the 2011/2012 Municipal Year be as follows:-

Councillor Casey
Councillor De-Vaux Balbirnie
Councillor Downing
Councillor Fawcett
Councillor V E Guglielmi
Councillor Powell
Councillor Pugh
Councillor Skeels

- (e) That Councillor Downing be elected Chairman of the Licensing (General Purposes) Sub-Committee for the 2011/2012 Municipal Year.
- (f) That Councillor Fawcett be appointed Vice-Chairman of the Licensing (General Purposes) Sub-Committee for the 2011/2012 Municipal Year.
- (g) That Premises/Personal Licences Sub-Committee 'A' be, and is, hereby appointed and that the members thereof for the 2011/2012 Municipal Year be as follows, with the appointment of a third member from the Labour Group, which appointment to be dealt with by the Chief Executive in accordance with the authority delegated to him to appoint a member at the request of the Group Leader:-

Councillor Downing Councillor Powell

(h) That Councillor Downing be elected Chairman of the Premises/Personal Licences Sub-

Committee 'A' for the 2011/2012 Municipal Year.

(i) That Premises/Personal Licences Sub-Committee 'B' be, and is, hereby appointed and that the members thereof for the 2011/2012 Municipal Year be as follows:-

Councillor V E Guglielmi Councillor G L Mitchell Councillor Shearing

- (j) That Councillor V E Guglielmi be elected Chairman of the Premises/Personal Licences Sub-Committee 'B' for the 2011/2012 Municipal Year.
- (k) That Premises/Personal Licences Sub-Committee 'C' be, and is, hereby appointed and that the members thereof for the 2011/2012 Municipal Year be as follows:-

Councillor De Vaux-Balbirnie Councillor Fawcett Councillor Platt

(I) That Councillor Platt be elected Chairman of the Premises/Personal Licences Sub-Committee 'C' for the 2011/2012 Municipal Year.

90. TENDRING DISTRICT LOCAL PLAN PREFERRED OPTIONS CONSULTATION

Council's approval was sought in respect of the Tendring District Local Plan Preferred Options Consultation.

The Local Plan Committee had considered the consultation document at its meeting held on 9 June 2016 and Council had before it the Committee's recommendations.

Council also had before it a report of the Head of Planning Services which informed Members of major as well as minor changes to the consultation documents in order to make the Plan up-to-date prior to public consultation and to be consistent in not allocating sites for housing which had been refused permission. Some of those changes were a result of decisions made by the Planning Committee at its meeting held on 14 June 2016. The changes had been made to the Plan attached as Appendix A to the report of the Head of Planning Services and a schedule of those changes was provided in Appendix C thereto.

Members had had circulated to them prior to the commencement of the meeting amended maps in relation to Great Bentley and Frinton, Walton, Kirby-le-Soken, Kirby Cross and Great Holland. Those maps had been altered as a result of the recent refusal of related planning applications.

Councillor Stock, Chairman of the Local Plan Committee, thanked the Members of that Committee and the Officers, particularly the Head of Planning Services (Cath Bicknell) and the Planning & Regulation Manager (Simon Meecham), for their hard work and dedicated effort in getting the Local Plan to its current position. He also thanked the members of the public and representatives of parish councils who had participated in the public speaking scheme at meetings of the Local Plan Committee.

Councillors Stock, Turner, Scott, Winfield, Parsons, Stephenson, Calver, Bray, V E Guglielmi, Howard, G V Guglielmi, Coley, Broderick and M Brown participated in the debate.

It was moved by Councillor Stock, seconded by Councillor Turner and RESOLVED that Council:

- (a) approves the content of the Tendring District Local Plan Preferred Options consultation document, attached as Appendix A to the Report of the Head of Planning Services, which incorporates the changes set out in Appendix C thereto and including the amended maps for Great Bentley and Frinton, Walton, Kirby-le-Soken, Kirby Cross and Great Holland, as circulated, for public consultation for a period of eight weeks;
- (b) delegates authority to the Head of Planning Services, in consultation with the Chairman of the Local Plan Committee, to make minor amendments to the text of the Local Plan consultation documents up to the point of publication for consultation purposes. Such amendments are to be circulated to all Members of the Council prior to the commencement of the public consultation; and
- (c) delegates authority to the Head of Planning Services, in consultation with the Chairman of the Local Plan Committee, to agree the content of the Sustainability Appraisals for the Local Plan Consultation Documents for public consultation for a period of six weeks. The content of the Sustainability Appraisals are to be circulated to all Members of the Council prior to the commencement of the public consultation.
- NOTES: (1) in accordance with the provisions of Council Procedure Rule 18.5, Councillors Bray, Broderick, Parsons and Whitmore each requested that they be recorded in the minutes as having voted against the above decisions; and
- (2) in addition, Councillor G V Guglielmi requested that he be recorded in the minutes as having abstained from voting on the above decisions.

91. URGENT MATTERS FOR DEBATE

There were none on this occasion.

92. URGENT MATTERS FOR DEBATE

There were none on this occasion.

Chairman